

Quantization of $U_q[\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)]$ with deformed para-Fermi operators

T. D. Palev*

Arnold Sommerfeld Institute for Mathematical Physics, Technical University of Clausthal, 3392 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany

Abstract. The observation that n pairs of para-Fermi (pF) operators generate the universal enveloping algebra of the orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)$ is used in order to define deformed pF operators. It is shown that these operators are an alternative to the Chevalley generators. On this background $U_q[\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)]$ and its "Cartan-Weyl" generators are written down entirely in terms of deformed pB operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991). 81R50, 16W30, 17B37.

The very idea of the present paper is much along the line of the one, developed in [1], where we have quantized the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1/2n)$ in terms of deformed para-Bose operators. Here we solve the same problem for the Lie algebra (LA) $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)$. More precisely, we define deformed pF operators $a_1^\pm, \dots, a_n^\pm$ and show that the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q[\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)]$ can be defined entirely in terms these operators. In other words $U_q[\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)]$ appears as a Hopf algebra with the pF operators being its free generators. It is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra (UEA) $U[\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)]$ of $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)$ with a deformation parameter q . At $q = 1$ one obtains the nondeformed algebra $U[\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)]$.

We wish to stress that we do not give any new deformation of $U[\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)]$. The deformation is the same as the one obtained in terms of the Chevalley generators and this will be explicitly shown. The only difference is that in our case the generating elements are deformed pF operators instead of deformed Chevalley generators.

Soon after the parastatistics was invented [2], it was shown that any n pairs $\hat{a}_1^\pm, \dots, \hat{a}_n^\pm$ of pF operators generate the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1)$ [3], whereas n pairs of para-Bose operators generate a Lie superalgebra [4], which is isomorphic to the basic Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1/2n) \equiv B(0/n)$ [5]. Purely algebraically the pF operators are defined as operators, which satisfy the relations $(\xi, \eta, \epsilon = \pm \text{ or } \pm 1, i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n; [x, y] = xy - yx)$

$$[[\hat{a}_i^\xi, \hat{a}_j^\eta], \hat{a}_k^\epsilon] = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon - \eta)^2 \delta_{jk} \hat{a}_i^\xi - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon - \xi)^2 \delta_{ik} \hat{a}_j^\eta. \quad (1)$$

Let $pF(n)$ be the pF algebra, i.e., the free associative unital algebra with generators $\hat{a}_1^\pm, \dots, \hat{a}_n^\pm$ and

* Permanent address: Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria; E-mail palev@bgearn.bitnet

relations (1). Then $pF(n)$ is also a Lie algebra with respect to the natural commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$, $x, y \in pF(n)$. Its subspace $L(n) = \text{lin.env.}\{[\hat{a}_i^\xi, \hat{a}_j^\eta], \hat{a}_k^\epsilon \mid \xi, \eta, \epsilon = \pm, i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, is a subalgebra of the LA $pF(n)$, isomorphic to $so(2n+1)$ [3]. The commutation relations in $L(n) = so(2n+1)$ are completely defined from the triple relations (1). Therefore from the very definition of an UEA of a LA one concludes that $pF(n)$ is the UEA of $so(2n+1)$.

PROPOSITION 1 [6]. *The para-Fermi algebra $pF(n)$ is (isomorphic to) the universal enveloping algebra of $so(2n+1)$. The basis in the Cartan subalgebra of $so(2n+1)$ can be chosen in such a way that the pF creation (resp. annihilation) operators are negative (resp. positive) root vectors.*

The relations between the pF operators and the Chevalley generators $\hat{e}_i, \hat{f}_i, h_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ of $so(2n+1)$ can be easily written down ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{a}_n^-, & \hat{e}_i &= \frac{1}{2}[\hat{a}_i^-, \hat{a}_{i+1}^+], \\ \hat{f}_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\hat{a}_n^+, & \hat{f}_i &= \frac{1}{2}[\hat{a}_{i+1}^-, \hat{a}_i^+], \\ h_n &= \frac{1}{2}[\hat{a}_n^-, \hat{a}_n^+], & h_i &= \frac{1}{2}[\hat{a}_i^-, \hat{a}_i^+] - \frac{1}{2}[\hat{a}_{i+1}^-, \hat{a}_{i+1}^+]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The inverse relations, namely the expressions of the pB operators in terms of the Chevalley generators, read ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_i^- &= \sqrt{2}[\hat{e}_i, [\hat{e}_{i+1}, [\hat{e}_{i+2}, [\dots, [\hat{e}_{n-2}, [\hat{e}_{n-1}, \hat{e}_n]] \dots]], & \hat{a}_n^- &= \sqrt{2}\hat{e}_n, \\ \hat{a}_i^+ &= \sqrt{2}[\dots [\hat{f}_n, \hat{f}_{n-1}], \hat{f}_{n-2}], \dots, [\hat{f}_{i+2}], \hat{f}_{i+1}], \hat{f}_i], & \hat{a}_n^+ &= \sqrt{2}\hat{f}_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Following [7] we proceed first to introduce the deformed UEA $U_q[so(2n+1)] \equiv U_q$ in terms of its Chevalley generators. The Cartan matrix (α_{ij}) is a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with $\alpha_{nn} = 1$, $\alpha_{ii} = 2$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\alpha_{j,j+1} = \alpha_{j+1,j} = -1$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, and all other $\alpha_{ij} = 0$. Then U_q is the free associative superalgebra with Chevalley generators e_i , f_i , $k_i = q^{h_i}$, $\bar{k}_i \equiv k_i^{-1} = q^{-h_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, which satisfy the Cartan relations

$$k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1, \quad k_i k_j = k_j k_i, \quad k_i e_j = q^{\alpha_{ij}} e_j k_i, \quad k_i f_j = q^{-\alpha_{ij}} f_j k_i, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - \bar{k}_i}{q - \bar{q}}, \quad (4)$$

and the Serre relations ($\bar{q} \equiv q^{-1}$)

$$[e_i, e_j] = 0, \quad [f_i, f_j] = 0, \quad |i - j| > 1, \quad (5)$$

$$[e_i, [e_i, e_{i\pm 1}]_{\bar{q}}]_q \equiv [e_i, [e_i, e_{i\pm 1}]_q]_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad [f_i, [f_i, f_{i\pm 1}]_{\bar{q}}]_q \equiv [f_i, [f_i, f_{i\pm 1}]_q]_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad i \neq n, \quad (6)$$

$$[e_n, [e_n, [e_n, e_{n-1}]_{\bar{q}}]]_q \equiv [e_n, [e_n, [e_n, e_{n-1}]_q]]_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad [f_n, [f_n, [f_n, f_{n-1}]_{\bar{q}}]]_q \equiv [f_n, [f_n, [f_n, f_{n-1}]_q]]_{\bar{q}} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Here and throughout the paper $[a, b]_{q^n} = ab - q^n ba$ and it is assumed that the deformation parameter q is any complex number except $q = 0$, $q = 1$ and $q^2 = 1$. The eqs. (4)-(7) are invariant with respect to the antiinvolution

$$(e_i)^* = f_i, \quad (k_i)^* = k_i^{-1} \equiv \bar{k}_i, \quad (q)^* = q^{-1} \equiv \bar{q}. \quad (8)$$

We do not write here the explicit forms of the coproduct, the counit and the antipode, since we shall not use them. They are the same as in [1], eqs.(13)-(15).

Having in mind the expressions (3) we define the deformed pF operators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^- &= \sqrt{2}[e_i, [e_{i+1}, [e_{i+2}, [\dots, [e_{n-2}, [e_{n-1}, e_n]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}} \dots]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}} = [e_i, a_{i+1}^-]_{\bar{q}}, \quad a_n^- = \sqrt{2}e_n, \\ a_i^+ &= \sqrt{2}[\dots [f_n, f_{n-1}]_q, f_{n-2}]_q, \dots]_q, f_{i+2}]_q, f_{i+1}]_q, f_i]_q = [a_{i+1}^+, f_i]_q, \quad a_n^+ = \sqrt{2}f_n. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

From the definition (9), the Cartan and the Serre relations (4)-(6) one obtains:

$$[e_i, a_j^+] = -q\delta_{ij}a_{i+1}^+k_i = -\delta_{ij}k_ik_{i+1}^+, \quad [a_j^-, f_i] = -\delta_{ij}a_{i+1}^-\bar{k}_i = -\bar{q}\delta_{ij}\bar{k}_i a_{i+1}^-, \quad i \neq n. \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i, a_j^-] &= 0, \quad [a_j^+, f_i] = 0, \quad j < i \text{ or } i+1 < j, \quad i \neq n, \\ [e_i, a_{i+1}^-]_{\bar{q}} &= a_i^-, \quad [a_{i+1}^+, f_i]_q = a_i^+, \quad i \neq n, \\ [e_i, a_i^-]_q &= 0, \quad [a_i^+, f_i]_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad i \neq n. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The derivation of these equations is based on identities like

1⁰ If $[a, b] = 0$, then $[[a, c]_q, b]_p = [a, [c, b]_p]_q$;

2⁰ If $[a, b] = 0$, then $[[a_1, [a_2, c]_q]_r, b]_p = [a_1, [a_2, [c, b]_p]_q]_r$;

3⁰ If $[a, c] = 0$, then $(q + q^{-1})[b, [a, [b, c]_q]_q] = [a, [b, [b, c]_q]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^2} - [[b, [b, a]_q]_{q^{-1}}, c]_{q^2}$.

We mention some of the steps.

(a) From (4) and (5) one easily derives $[e_i, a_j^+] = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $i \neq n$. Therefore, $[e_i, a_i^+] = [e_i, [a_{i+1}^+, f_i]_q] = [a_{i+1}^+, [e_i, f_i]_q] = \frac{1}{q-\bar{q}}[a_{i+1}^+, k_i - \bar{k}_i]_q = -qa_{i+1}^+k_i$; hence $[e_i, a_i^+] = -q\delta_{ij}a_{i+1}^+k_i$.

(b) For $i \neq n$ one has from (5) $[e_i, a_j^-] = 0$ for $i < j-1$; by definition $[e_i, a_{i+1}^-]_{\bar{q}} = a_i^-$; from (6) $[e_i, a_i^-]_q = [e_i, [e_i, [e_{i+1}, a_{i+2}^-]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}}]_q = [[e_i, [e_i, e_{i+1}]_{\bar{q}}]_q, a_{i+2}^-]_{\bar{q}} = 0$; $[e_i, a_{i-1}^-] = [e_i, [e_{i-1}, [e_i, [e_{i+1}, a_{i+2}^-]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}} = [[e_i, [e_{i-1}, [e_i, e_{i+1}]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}}]_{\bar{q}}, a_{i+2}^-]_{\bar{q}} = 0$. The latter follows from the identity 3⁰ and (6); the eqs. $[e_i, a_j^-] = 0$ when $i > j+1$ are proved by induction on j .

(c) The r.h.s. equations (10), (11), i.e., those involving f_i , are obtained from the l.h.s. equations by means of the antiinvolution (8) and a consequence of it, namely $(a_i^+)^* = a_i^-$.

PROPOSITION 2. *The deformed pF operators (9) together with the "Cartan" operators $k_1, \dots, k_n$ generate (in a sense of an associative algebra) $U_q[so(2n+1)]$.*

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of the relations:

$$[a_i^-, a_i^+] = 2 \frac{k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_i - \bar{k}_n \bar{k}_{n-1} \dots \bar{k}_i}{q - \bar{q}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (12)$$

$$[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+] = 2k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_{i+1} e_i, \quad [a_{i+1}^-, a_i^+] = 2f_i \bar{k}_n \bar{k}_{n-1} \dots \bar{k}_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (13)$$

These equations are proved by induction on i . For $i = n$ (12) holds. Suppose that for a certain $i+1$

$$[a_{i+1}^-, a_{i+1}^+] = 2 \frac{k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_{i+1} - \bar{k}_n \bar{k}_{n-1} \dots \bar{k}_{i+1}}{q - \bar{q}}. \quad (14)$$

Then $[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+] = [[e_i, a_{i+1}^-]_{\bar{q}}, a_{i+1}^+] = [e_i, [a_{i+1}^-, a_{i+1}^+]]_{\bar{q}}$ and from (14) $[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+] = \frac{2}{q-\bar{q}}[e_i, k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_{i+1} - \bar{k}_n \bar{k}_{n-1} \dots \bar{k}_{i+1}]_{\bar{q}} = 2k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_{i+1} e_i$, i.e., the left eq.(13) holds. Similarly one shows that the right eq.(13) holds. Thus, if eq.(14) holds, then also eqs.(13) are fulfilled. From here and eqs.(11) we compute $[a_i^-, a_i^+] = [a_i^-, [a_{i+1}^+, f_i]_q] = [[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], f_i]_q + [a_{i+1}^+, [a_i^-, f_i]]_q = [2k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_{i+1} e_i, f_i]_q - [a_{i+1}^+, a_{i+1}^- \bar{k}_i]_q = 2k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_{i+1} [e_i, f_i] + [a_{i+1}^-, a_{i+1}^+] \bar{k}_i = \frac{2}{q-\bar{q}}(k_n k_{n-1} \dots k_i - \bar{k}_n \bar{k}_{n-1} \dots \bar{k}_i)$.

This proves the validity of eqs.(12),(13) and, hence, of Proposition 2.

Let

$$L_i = k_i k_{i+1} \dots k_n, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad \bar{k}_i = L_i \bar{L}_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (15)$$

Following the terminology, introduced in [8], we call the operators

$$a_i^\pm, \quad L_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (16)$$

pre-oscillator generators of $U_q[so(2n+1)]$.

PROPOSITION 3. *The defining relations (4)-(7) of $U_q[so(2n+1)]$ in terms of its Chevalley generators $e_i, f_i, k_i = q^{h_i}, i = 1, \dots, n$, hold if and only if the pre-oscillator generators (16) satisfy the relations:*

$$[L_i, a_j^\pm] = 0, \quad i \neq j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (A)$$

$$[L_i, a_i^\pm]_{q^{\mp 1}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (B)$$

$$[a_i^-, a_i^+] = 2 \frac{L_i - \bar{L}_i}{q - \bar{q}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (C) \quad (17)$$

$$[[a_i^{-\eta}, a_{i\pm 1}^\eta], a_j^{-\eta}]_{q^{\pm \delta_{ij}}} = 2\delta_{j, i\pm 1} L_j^{\pm \eta} a_i^{-\eta}, \quad \eta = \pm, \quad (D)$$

$$[a_n^\xi, [a_n^\xi, a_{n-1}^\xi]]_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad \xi = \pm. \quad (E)$$

Therefore $U_q[so(2n+1)]$ can be viewed as a free associative unital algebra of the pre-oscillator generators (16) with relations (17).

Proof. We sketch the proof.

1) *Necessity.* Let the equations for the Chevalley generators (4)-(7) hold. (A) and (B) are simple consequence from the definitions (9), (15) and the Cartan relations (4); (C) is the same as (12). Replacing in (11) e_i, f_i from eqs.(13) and rearranging the terms, one obtains after a long, but simple calculations (D). Inserting from (9) e_n and e_{n-1} in the Serre relations (7), one ends with

$$[e_n, [e_n, [e_n, e_{n-1}]_q]]_{\bar{q}} = -\frac{q}{2\sqrt{2}}[a_n^-, [a_n^-, a_{n-1}^-]]_{\bar{q}} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Hence eqs.(E) hold.

2) *Sufficiency.* Assume that the pre-oscillator generators, defined with (9), (15), satisfy eqs.(17). From (9) and (13) we have.

$$e_n^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_n^-, \quad f_n^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_n^+, \quad e_i = \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{i+1} [a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], \quad f_i = \frac{1}{2} [a_{i+1}^-, a_i^+] L_{i+1}, \quad i \neq n. \quad (19)$$

Using (15), (17) and (18) it is easy to derive the Cartan relations (3).

In order to show that one of the bilinear Serre relations (5) hold, consider $i < j - 1$. Then from (17)

$$[e_i, e_n] = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} [\bar{L}_{i+1}[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], a_n^+] = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \bar{L}_{i+1}[[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], a_n^+] = 0;$$

$$[e_i, e_j] = \frac{1}{4} \bar{L}_{i+1} \bar{L}_{j+1} \{[[[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], a_j^-], a_{j+1}^+] + [a_j^-, [[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], a_{j+1}^+]]\} = 0, \quad j \neq n.$$

Therefore $[e_i, e_j] = 0$, if $|i - j| > 1$.

As an example of a triple Serre relation we consider $[e_i, [e_i, e_{i-1}]_q]_{\bar{q}}$. In this case $i \neq n$. First we derive from (19)

$$[e_i, e_{i-1}]_q = \frac{q}{4} \bar{L}_{i+1} \bar{L}_i \{[[[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], a_{i-1}^-], a_i^+] + [a_{i-1}^-, [[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], a_i^+]]\} = -\frac{q}{2} \bar{L}_{i+1} [a_{i-1}^-, a_{i+1}^+].$$

Therefore from (17)

$$[e_i, [e_i, e_{i-1}]_q]_{\bar{q}} = -\frac{q}{4} [\bar{L}_{i+1}[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], \bar{L}_{i+1}[a_{i-1}^-, a_{i+1}^+]]_{\bar{q}} = -\frac{q}{4} [a_i^-, F],$$

where

$$F = [\bar{L}_{i+1}[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], \bar{L}_{i+1} a_{i+1}^+]_{\bar{q}} = q \bar{L}_{i+1}^2 [[a_i^-, a_{i+1}^+], a_{i+1}^+]_{\bar{q}} = 0$$

The validity of the other triple Serre relations (6) is proved in the same way.

The last Serre relations follow from the eq.(18) and its conjugate. This completes the proof.

Since

$$L_i = q^{H_i}, \quad H_i = h_i + h_{i+1} + \dots + h_n, \quad (20)$$

in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ the equations (17) reduce to

$$[[\hat{a}_i^{-\eta}, \hat{a}_j^\eta], \hat{a}_k^{-\eta}] = 2\delta_{jk} \hat{a}_i^{-\eta}, \quad |i - j| < 2, \quad \eta = \pm, \quad (21)$$

$$[\hat{a}_n^\xi, [\hat{a}_n^\xi, \hat{a}_{n-1}^\xi]]_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad \xi = \pm. \quad (22)$$

We came to an interesting conclusion, which is new even for the nondeformed pF operators. The point is that the eqs.(21-22) are only a small part of all eqs.(1), initially used to define the pF operators [2]. Nevertheless they define completely the para-Fermi statistics. In a certain sense (21-22) give the minimal set of relations, defining the pF operators. Elsewhere we shall write down the complete set of quantum relations, namely the quantum analog of eqs.(1), which is a more difficult task. The relevance of the complete set of relations stems from the following proposition, which we only formulate.

PROPOSITION 4. *The operators L_i , $a_i^\pm$, $[a_i^-, a_j^+]$, $[a_p^\xi, a_q^\xi]$, $i \neq j$, $i, j, p, q = 1, \dots, n$ are an analogue of the Cartan-Weyl generators for $so(2n+1)$. In terms of these generators one can introduce a basis in $U_q[so(2n+1)]$.*

For the deformed para-Bose operators the complete set of relations was given in [1], whereas the question about the minimal set of relations was settled in [9].

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Prof. H. D. Doebner for the kind hospitality at the Arnold Sommerfeld Institute for Mathematical Physics, where most of the results have been obtained. The research was supported through contract $\Phi - 215$ of the Committee of Science of Bulgaria.

References

1. Palev, T. D., *J. Phys. A* **26**, L1111 (1993).
2. Green, H. S., *Phys.Rev.* **90**, 270 (1993).
3. Kamefuchi, S. and Takahashi, Y., *Nucl.Phys.* **36**, 177 (1960).
Ryan, C. and Sudarshan, E. C. G., *Nucl.Phys.* **47**, 207 (1963).
4. Omote, M., Ohnuki, Y. and Kamefuchi, S., *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **56**, 1948 (1976).
5. Ganchev, A. and Palev, T. D., *J.Math.Phys.* **21**, 797 (1980).
6. Palev, T. D., *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **XXIII**, 49 (1975).
7. Khoroshkin, S. M. and Tolstoy, V. N., *Comm.Math.Phys* **141**, 599 (1991).
8. Palev, T. D., *Lett. Math. Phys.* **28**, 321 (1993).
9. Hadjiivanov, L. K., Preprint ESI 20, Vienna (to appear in Journ. Math. Phys.).